UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,737	06/20/2005	Ansgar Behler	C 2747 PCT/US	5027
23657 COGNIS CORI	7590 07/08/200 PORATION	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA			CARR, DEBORAH D	
300 BROOKSIDE AVENUE AMBLER, PA 19002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/539,737	BEHLER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DEBORAH D. CARR	1621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression in the correct of t	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	_			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-9, filed 4 April 2008, with respect to claim 12 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35USC§112, 1st paragraph of claim 12 has been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 4 April 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, the rejection of claims 11, 13-30 under 35USC§103 has been maintained.
- 3. Upon further consideration in view of the amending of the claims and specification, new rejections under 35 USC§112 are deemed proper.

Claim Rejections ~ 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11–30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiroyuki et al. (JP 10–077255) in view of Conner (GB–2,153,373).

Applicant have amended the claims to include a temperature range as a means of overcoming the primarily reference (JP'255) and argues the secondary reference (GB'373) fail to cure the deficiencies of JP'255 because of the hydroxylamine used renders it a different process and not applicable.

It should be noted in the abstract an alkoxylation temperature of greater than 110°C is disclosed. While the exemplified temperature range is 80 to 100°C, this is based on the alkylene oxide used. As stated in section [0017], "low temperature is good and changes also with kinds of alkylene oxide but when alkylene oxide is ethylene oxide it is preferably below 110°C.

As stated in the specification in lines 10–16, EP–557,462 discloses temperatures of at least 180°C thereby encompassing the instant temperature range. It should be noted that this is not disclosure in any of the prior art that temperatures ranging from 80–100°C would result in explosions since this is the preferred temperature range.

In response to applicant's argument that GB'373 process is not analogous to JP'255 because of the amine used, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Additionally, regarding applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections

are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

As shown in GB'373, it is conventionally known in the art that color formation is an expected occurrence during the base catalyzed polyoxylation of hydroxyalkylamine derivatives. The most common reducing agents used in this type of reaction are coloring reducing borohydrides such as alkali metal or alkaline earth metal borohydrides.

Claim Rejections ~ 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 12–30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The inclusion of "independently, of two or more, total weight of the, one or more members of the group consisting of" is considered to be new matter.

Applicant has amended both the claims and specification with these terms, which are not supported, by the specification as originally written. While one can make inferences from the originally filed specification as a possible means of supporting the inclusions, this is not the case. The only indication in specification on page 3 that the fatty

acid component can be one or more of the fatty acids, is a the reference to technical mixture which are preferably coconut, palm & tallow oils. There is no indication that these technical mixtures are mixed together a condensed together.

The examples recited the catalyst and reducing agents are added based on the starting component, which is the fatty acid monoalkanolamide not on all of the starting components.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBORAH D. CARR whose telephone number is (571)272-0637. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deborah D Carr/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

Ddc